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Table 1: Communities under investigation

| Name | Geographic location | Acronym |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Buckie | Northern Scotland | BCK |
| Cumnock | Southeast Scotland | CMK |
| Cullybackie | Northern Ireland | CLB |
| Portavogie | Northern Ireland | PVG |
| Maryport | Northeast England | MPT |
| Wheatley Hill | Northwest England | WL |
| York | Northern England | YRK |
| Tiverton | Southwest England | DVN |
| Wincanton | Southwest England | SMT |
| Henfield | Southeast England | SSX |



## Historical Development: have

Nu we sind hlæne habbe we nan ping to etanne buton Manna.
'Now we are lean, haven't we no thing to eat except Manna.' (Ælfric c. 970-1000, Num. 11,9)

Bot he haf wit to steir his stede.
'Unless he has wit to stear his steed.' (Barbour c. 1375, Bruce VI, 334)

He that had lyttle to spende, hath not much to lose.
(Lyly 1580, Euphues and His England 243)

## Prescriptive Commentary have got

1855: This form of speech should never be used to express possession, ... (Gwynne 1855)

1870: "... use of the word got ..[for 'have' is] not only wrong, but if right, superfluous. (White [1870] 1927)

1907: Wrong: Have you got a knife with you: Right: Have you a knife with you? (Wooley 1907) OSAKA March 5-6. 2009

## Stative Possession

Contemporary British Dialects

- I 've got two grandaughters ... Joyce and I just have the one daughter. (PVC/ $/$ )
- We always have an advance-party, each troop has about three or four .... It 's got its advantages, that. (MPT/n)
- I 've got a cousin in New Cummock that has it and she gets it every month (CMK/I)
- They got a lovely family bible, you know .. Thomas has it. (CLB/q)


## Historical Development: have got

## 16th century:

What a beard hast thou got; thou hast got more haire on they chin, then Dobbin my philhorse has on his taile.
(Shakespeare 1596, Merchant of Venice ii. 99)

Hodge, what a delicious shop you have got! (Dekker 1600, Shoemaker's Holiday IV, ii, 51)

Ye haue got a humour there Does not become a man. (Shakespeare, 1607 Timon I. ii. 26)

## Proportion of stative have got

 British English 1850-1935(from Noble 1985, extrapolated from Kroch 1989: 209)


## Explanations

* Syntactic
- Changing grammatical status of have - Auxiliary verb -> lexical verb
* Prosodic
- A result of weakening, contraction and insertion
* Semantic
- Reanalysis from active verb, "acquire" with past tense cognate got
$\qquad$






## Negation

There are "a very wide range of options for negating lexical have"

* Nelson 2004:300

The range of options is "bewildering"

$$
\% \text { Biber et al. 1999:160 }
$$
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## Negation

(Nelson 2004: 300)

Pattern 1: I don't have (any) money.
Pattern 2: I haven't any money.
Pattern 3: I haven't got any money.
Pattern 4: I have no money.
Pattern 5: I've got no money.




## Negation

## - Scotland

- They do na have assistant managers. They 've got a manager. (BCK/s)
- Northeast England
- I haven't far to go anyhow. (MPT/f)
- Northern Ireland
- He hasn't time lyke. (CLB/n)
- Hae you friends in America yet? (PVG/h)



## Questions

- Scotland
- Have you any sugar eh, Jennifer? (BCK/g)


## - Northern Ireland

- Have you an orchard probably, have you? (CLB/n)
- Well how have you such nice skin? (PVG/d)


## Insertion of got

- He's got three daughters and a son. (CMK/h)
- We've got a church. (CMK/d)
- I 've got this car. (PVG/h)
- You've got Scotch blood in you. (PVG/@)


## Phases of development

- 1st have used for all contexts
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ Contraction of have (‘s/’ve) with pronouns
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ Got added to pronoun contexts to get ' $v e$ got/'s got
- $4^{\text {th }}$ Got added to noun phrase contexts


## Contraction of have

- She's only one daughter and her two grandsons. (CMK/m)
- He's a beautiful head of hair. (CMK/v)
- He's a lot of customers. (CMK/n)
- He's a farm. (PVG/g)
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## Type of Subject

## Pronoun subjects:

- I 've got a phone number. (CMK/!)
- You 've got Scotch blood in you.. (MPT/@)
- Be better than that bloody great big angel they 've got at Newcastle. (MPT/f)
Noun phrase subjects:
- The turbines has a habit o sticking sometimes. (PVG/g)
- Raynor Fletcher has his house down on the quayside. (MPT/s)
- Every area has different words for things. (PVG/d)



## Elision of contracted have leaving got

- I got a job now. (CLB/b)
- They got meat, eggs and different provisions. (MPT/b)
- We got friends in America. (PVG/h)
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Overall Distribution


Historical Development: got

## 19th century:

They got no principles. They got no platform to stand onto (1849 Knickerbocker XXXIV. 12)

What you got there, grandma?
( 1887 , M. E. Wilkins Jumble Romance 370)
I got a right to know what she said.
(Booth Tarkington 1913, The Flirt 36)

We got some mail.
(Shaw 1948, The Young Lions 450)
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## Phases of development

5th 'v/'s got - cliticized to a preceding pronouns ... elide leaving got alone


## Type of complement

Concrete:

- She has got near two-thousand pound with her. (CLB/b)
- They 've got a very big boat, like. (PVG/c)

Abstract:

- You have these memories lass. (CMK/q)
- I 've a notion to go to the trip. ( $\mathrm{CLB} / \mathrm{k}$ )
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## Phases of development

* Original lexical meaning of get, 'to acquire'
* I got a car from the dealership
* Acquired by some means
* Have got enters the stative possessive system for physical, concrete things
$*$ I've got a car
* Possession
* Generalization to other types of complements * I've got an idea
* Abstract qualities, relationship, health etc.
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## Effect of Type of Complement <br> British Plays

(Kroch 1989:209; Noble 1985)



## Contextual Effects on incoming have got

| TYPE OF <br> COMPLEMENT | TYPE OF SUBJECT |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Concrete | $\checkmark$ | Pronoun | $\checkmark$ |
| Abstract | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | NP | $\boldsymbol{x}$ |
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## Probability of have got

|  | NI | CMK | BCK | YRK | MPT | WHL | SSX | DVN | SMT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corrected Mean | .11 | .52 | .53 | .36 | .39 | .72 | .83 | .91 | .92 |
| Proportion | $12 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| Type of <br> complement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concrete | $\mathbf{. 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{. 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{. 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{. 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{. 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{. 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{. 5 4}$ | $[.56]$ | $[.52]$ |
| Abstract | $\mathbf{. 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{. 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{. 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{. 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{. 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{. 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{. 2 4}$ | $[.50]$ | $[.34]$ |
| Type of Subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pro | $14 \%$ | $[.50]$ | $[.50]$ | $\mathbf{. 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{. 5 2}$ | $[.52]$ | $[.51]$ | $[.52]$ | $[.41]$ |
| NP | $0 \%$ | $[.49]$ | $[.47]$ | $\mathbf{. 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{. 3 3}$ | $[.38]$ | $[.41]$ | $[.27]$ | $[.39]$ |

## Observations

* Morphosyntactic change influenced by multidimensional constraints operating at different levels of grammar
* Contrast between constant changes and differential changes
* Contextual constraints propel change forward in different ways at different phases in the evolution of a system
* Synchrony mirrors diachrony

Sali A. Tagliamonte

## Thanks to...

- The Economic and Social Research Council of the United Kingdom (ESRC) for research grants:
- 1996-1998. Roots of identity: Variation and grammaticisation in contemporary British English.
- 1999-2001. Grammatical variation and change in British English: Perspectives from York.
- 2002-2003. Back to the roots: The legacy of British dialects.


## Selected References

- Crowell, Thomas L. (1959). 'Have got', a pattern preserver. American Speech 34(2): 280-186.
- Jespersen, Otto H. (1965). A Modern English grammar: Part IV: Syntax. Northhampton: John Dickens and Co. Ltd.
- Kroch, Anthony S. (1989). Reflexes of grammar in patterns of language change. Language Variation and Change 1(3): 199-244.
Kroch, Anthony S. (1999). Syntactic Change. In Baltin, M. \& Collins, C. (Eds.), Handbook of Syntax. Malden: Blackwell Publishers.
- Rice, Wallace (1932). Get and Got. American Speech 7(2): 280-296

Nelson, Gerald (2004). The negation of lexical have in conversational English. World Englishes 23: 299-308.
Tagliamonte, Sali A. (2003). "Every place has a different toll": Determinants of grammatical variation in cross-variety perspective. In Rhodenberg, G. \& Mondorf, B. (Eds.), Determinants of grammatical variation in English. Berlin and New York: Mouton de Gruyter. 531-554

