

Reinterpretation of a Morpho-syntactic System as a Result of Language Contact and Language Attrition: An Example from an Endangered Language in Indonesia

UTSUMI, Atsuko

Abstract

This presentation aims to give an example of a radical morphosyntactic change which is often found when a language undergoes endangerment as a result of language contact. There are many endangered languages which are spoken by small populations in Indonesia. Bantik is one of such Austronesian languages spoken in North Sulawesi and is regarded to belong to the Sangiric subgroup. It has less than 3000 fluent speakers all of whom are in their fifties and older, and is endangered. Second language speakers of Bantik, who are in their thirties and forties, show a language structure which is distinct from the traditional one in many respects. I will mainly focus in this paper on generation differences in morpho-syntax.

1 Introduction: The Socio-linguistic Situation of the Bantik Language

1.1 The Bantik language

- Bantik ¹ is an Austronesian language, which belong to Sangiric subgroup within the Philippine group which in turn belongs to the Western Malayo-Polynesian family. It is severely endangered.
- Bantik is reported to have around 10,000 speakers (cf. Noorduyn 1991), but is assumed to be spoken by less than 3000 fluent speakers.
- Bantik has five vowels, /i, e, a, o, u/, and fourteen consonants /p, b, t, d, k, g, ʔ, s, h, m, n, ŋ, r, j/. It has rich morphological system, and has an active voice and two undergoer voices.

1.2 The sociolinguistic situation of the Bantik language

- Bantik is spoken in the vicinity of Manado, the provincial town of North Sulawesi Province. Many people of different ethnic origin live in Bantik villages.
- Indonesian, the national language of Indonesia has two distinct styles; Standard Indonesian (*Bahasa Baku*) which is formal and used for writing, and Colloquial Indonesian. Colloquial Indonesian varies to the great extent from place to place, and in North Sulawesi, the Manado dialect of Colloquial Indonesian (*Bahasa Manado*) ² has immense vitality and is used as many people's everyday language as well as the common language. The Manado dialect show many features that are not shared with Standard Indonesian and other dialects of Colloquial Indonesian.

Almost all the speakers of Bantik can also speak the Manado dialect.

¹For detailed description of Bantik, please refer to Bawole 1993 and Utsumi 2005.

²For more information on the Manado dialect, please refer to Prentice 1994.

- First language of older Bantik people (born before 1950) is Bantik, while that of young Bantik people (born after 1970) is the Manado dialect.
- Standard Indonesian is the High variety of both groups. The Low varieties of the older generation is Bantik and the Manado dialect. Bantik is limited register and is used among people of ‘primary relation’ (cf. Dorian 1981). The Manado dialect is the Low variety of the younger generation. Their use of Bantik is very limited. They use it only when they speak to the older Bantik speakers.
- Young Bantik people speak the Bantik language as a second language, and as a result, their knowledge of the language has undergone many changes. Their Bantik show discrepancies from the traditional Bantik language shared by older speakers.
- It is assumed that two factors cause these changes in young peoples’ Bantik.
 - Language contact with Standard Indonesian and the Manado dialect.
 - Imperfect Learning (cf. Cook 1995). Young people have limited access to the Bantik language.
- The data used in the description below are collected through a fieldwork research in Buha village, North Sulawesi, from 1996 to 2008. There are two linguistic consultants who belong to the young generation: Mr. Vasco Tuwaidan born in 1972, Mr. Stenly Mamengko born in 1974. Mr. Heis Mamengko, born in 1950 and Mr. Terok Mamengko, born in 1948, are my consultants who speak Bantik as the first language. Many other speakers of diverse age groups in Buha village have been consulted too.
- The variety of Bantik which are shared by older generation (born before 1950) will henceforth be called Traditional Bantik, or TB, and that shared by younger generation (born after 1970) Young Bantik, or YB. (The idea for these naming came from Schmidt 1985).

2 Semantic Changes in the Lexicon

- Overgeneralization of the meaning is often found in the YB lexicon.
- YB speakers take proper nouns for common nouns.

Table 1: Semantic overgeneralization

Word	TB meaning	YB meaning	TB word for YB meaning
<i>paniki</i>	a name of a specific river	river	<i>ake mihih</i> ‘flowing water’
<i>wagata?</i>	strong west wind	strong (for wind)	<i>ma-bahua?</i>
<i>sayoho?</i>	kangkung (a kind of vegetable)	uncooked vegetable	*

- The influence of Standard Indonesian and the Manado dialect is apparent ³.

Table 2: Differences in semantic interpretation influenced by language contact

Word	TB meaning	YB meaning	Similar Indonesian word
<i>peha?</i>	roe (fish egg)	silver	<i>perak</i> , ‘silver’
<i>ma-ruasa?</i>	easy	spacious	<i>luas</i> , ‘spacious’
<i>sa-sendo?</i>	straw	spoon	<i>sendok</i> , ‘spoon’

³The phoneme /r/ (trill) in Indonesian often corresponds to Bantik /h/ (*timur* (IND) vs *timuhu?* (BAN) ‘east’, *kertas* (IND) vs *kahatasa?*). This is why YB *peha?* is assigned the meaning of *perak* in Indonesian.

- Many other Bantik lexical items are lost in YB, most of which are replaced by the Manado dialect.
- There are many cases where the interpretation of the same word do not coincide between the two age groups.

Table 3: Generation differences in semantics of the lexicon

Word	TB meaning	YB meaning
<i>sumau?</i>	to perform a war dance	to be angry
<i>topoŋ</i>	rice without the germ	wheat flour
<i>dedehan</i>	column of a hut	column (for everything)
<i>papanta?</i>	post, stake	fence
<i>ma-rotei</i>	weak, feeble	soft
<i>ma-hiaaruŋ</i>	be piled up	be up and down
<i>ma-riduŋ</i>	to bury a body, to cover	to dig
<i>tian</i>	belly	belly, stomach

3 Morphological Confusion

Bantik language has a rich affixation system. Both Traditional Bantik and Young Bantik seem to share the same rules for the affixation, but the number of words resulting from the application of the word forming rule are different between the two varieties.

3.1 Word classes and affixes in Bantik

The elements in Bantik language can be divided into words and particles. The word classes in Bantik are nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs. Verbs and adjectives differ in that verbs have two tenses, non-past and past, while adjectives do not change their forms according to the time of the state that they describe.

- Nouns are categorized into two groups: base-only nouns and derivational nouns that undergo reduplication and affixation.
- Adjectives are categorized into three groups: base-only adjectives, those with the prefix *ma-*, and derivational adjectives.
- Verbs (with a few exception) should be affixed in order to appear in a sentence. One of voice indicating affixes is necessarily attached.
 - The infix *-um-/im-*, the prefix *ma-/na-* or the prefix *maN-/naN*⁴ for active voice.
 - The suffix *-AN* and the prefix *ni-* for undergoer voices.
 - Voice-indicating affixes show tense in addition to the voice of the verb. The affixes *-um-*, *ma-*, and *maN-* indicate non-past tense while *-im-*, *na-*, *naN-*, and *ni-* show past tense.
- Derivational affixes can also attach to the verb base in addition to the voice-indicating affixes. For example, the causative prefix *paki-*, the applicative prefix *paN-*, or the abilitative prefix *ka-*.

3.2 Peculiar word formation in Young Bantik

YB speakers, who do not have complete knowledge of the language, sometimes create nonexistent combinations of an affix and a base.

⁴/N/ in *maN-/naN-* indicates insertion of a nasal which is homo-organic with the first consonant of the base, or a replacement of the first consonant of a base by a homo-organic nasal. In the case where a base begins with a vowel, /ŋ/ is inserted.

3.2.1 Morphological Confusion Concerning Noun Formation

There are several ways to form derivational nouns from adjective or verb bases. YB speakers tend to overgeneralize the formation rule and create words which do not exist. In addition, their interpretation of the derived words always follow the semantic rule of the majority. Those with diosyncratic meanings are not correctly understood. Here is one of such examples.

- ‘C1 + /a/ + Base’ (reduplication of the first consonant of a verb base followed by /a/ and the base) makes derivational nouns from verb bases. It can be said to be productive, but only applies to less than twenty percent of the verb bases. Most of the derived nouns denote ‘the manner of motion denoted by the base’. On the other hand, some of them have unpredicable meaning. YB speakers tend to apply this formation to many verb bases creating nonexistent words. Their interpretations of the derived nouns are always ‘the manner’.

Table 4: Derived nouns and the differences in their interpretations

Word	TB meaning	YB meaning
<i>ta-tara?</i>	the way of flying	the way of flying
<i>sa-seŋko?</i>	the way of sailing	the way of sailing
<i>ha-huake</i>	heat, fire	the way of liquefying
<i>ka-kodo?</i>	eyelid	the way of blinking
<i>ta-tuhu?</i>	stick or string (for piercing)	the way of following
<i>ta-tahunduŋ</i>	*	the way of remembering
<i>da-dahuŋ</i>	*	the way of making noise
<i>ga-giridi?</i>	*	the way of loving

3.2.2 Applying a wrong voice-indicating affix to verb bases

YB speakers sometimes apply a wrong voice-indicating affix to verb bases, or realize /N/ in *maN-/naN-* wrongly.

Table 5: Wrong application of voice-indicating affixes

Meaning	TB form	YB form
to ascend	ma-saka	s-um-aka
to help	ma-turuŋ	manuruŋ
to distribute	ma-tiaha?	ma-niaha?
to carry on the back	mam-barukin	ma-marukin

4 Reinterpretation of the Voice System

4.1 The voice system of the Bantik language

Bantik verbs can be divided into following three groups depending on the number of voice(s) they can take. There are three voices, Active Voice, Undergoer Voice 1, and Undergoer Voice 2. Each verb is lexically determined how many voice(s) it can take.

- Intransitive verbs: they take only one argument (a subject), and can only take the active voice.
- Mono-transitive verbs: they take two arguments (a subject and an object), they can take the active voice and one undergoer voice.
- Ditransitive verbs: they take three arguments (a subject and two objects), they can take the active voice and two undergoer voices.

Table 6: The paradigm of simple verbs (verbs without derivational affixes)

	Active Voice	Undergoer Voice1	Undergoer Voice2
<i>-um-/-im-:ex. sakei (ride)</i>			
non-past	UM-Base	(Base-AN)	*
	s-um-akei	sake-an	*
past	IM-Base	(NI-Base-AN)	*
	s-im-akei	ni-sake-an	*
<i>ma-/na-:ex. tiki (sleep)</i>			
non-past	MA-Base	(Base-AN)	*
tiki (sleep)	ma-tiki	*	*
past	NA-Base	(NI-Base)	*
	na-tiki	*	*
<i>maN-/naN-</i> mono-transitive verb : ex. buno(kill)			
non-past	MAN-Base	Base-AN	*
	ma-muno	buno-n	*
past	NAN-Base	NI-Base	*
	na-muno	*	ni-buno
<i>maN-/naN-</i> ditransitive verb bihei (give)			
non-past	MAN-Base	Base-AN	Base
	ma-mihei	bih-an	bihei
past	NAN-Base	NI-Base-AN	NI-Base
	na-mihei	ni-bih-an	ni-bihei

4.2 Difference in subcategorization of verbs

Younger speakers has lost the distinction between the two undergoer voices, and tend to confuse them. They know that there are three morphologically different voice forms, and that there are three subcategories of verbs, that is, intransitive, mono-transitive and ditransitive, but lack the knowledge for deciding which verb belongs to which subcategory. As a result, they often exhibit a morpho-syntactically peculiar usage of verbs. This confusion is assumed to be caused by language contact with Indonesian, which has only a two-way voice alternation.

Table 7: Verbs regarded as ditransitive by YB speakers but transitive by TB speakers

base	AV	UV1	*UV2	meaning
toŋko?	ma-noŋko?	toŋkoh-an	*toŋko?	request
tiaha?	ma-niaha?	tiah-en	*tiaha?	share
sahioko?	ma-nioko?	sahiok-an	*sahioko?	rush
sohobo?	ma-nohobo?	sohob-an	*sohobo?	light fire
saudu?	ma-naudu?	saud-an	*saudu?	hang

Table 8: Verbs regarded as transitive by YB speakers but ditransitive by OB speakers

base	AV	UV1	UV2	meaning
oŋkoho?	ma-ŋoŋkoho?	oŋkoho?-n	oŋkoho?	hand in
suji	ma-nuji	suji-an	suji	feed
turau	ma-nurau	turau-an	turau	leave something

Some YB speakers confuse the past tense form of verbs with voice-indicating prefixes *ma-/na-* and *maN-/naN-*. Mono-transitive verbs as shown below take the suffix *-AN* when they are in non-past

tense but do not take it when in past tense. Some young speakers, however, consistently add the suffix even in past tense.

Table 9: Confusion concerning Undergoer Voice form

base	AV non-past	UV past	YB Form	meaning
bei	ma-bei	ni-bei	*ni-bei-an	pick up
tunu	ma-tunu	ni-tunu	*ni-tunu-an	grill
rutaŋ	ma-rutaŋ	ni-rutaŋ	*ni-ruta-men	shoot
buno	ma-muno	ni-buno	*ni-buno-n	kill
turubu?	ma-nurubu?	ni-turubu?	*ni-turub-an	cover

4.3 Overgeneralization of the derivational verb-forming rules

The other characteristics of YB is that it overgeneralize the derivational rules of those affixes and applies them to inapplicable bases.

4.3.1 Adversative verbs

The form ‘*ka-* + Adjective Base + *-AN*’ conveys adversative meaning, and words with this form are verbs (because they have two tense forms). Although adjective bases with unfavorable meanings have a tendency to take this form, not all of them can take it. YB speakers tend to make nonexistent words with this form by overgeneralizing the formation rule. A possible factor for this is that there is a form ‘*ka-* + Adjective Base’, which is the nominalization form derived from adjective bases. YB speakers might confuse these two forms and functions.

Table 10: Adversatives: existent and nonexistent words presented by YB speakers

base	Adjective	meaning	Adversative verb	meaning
pidisi?	ma-pidisi?	painful	ka-pidis-an	suffer from a pain
suredo	ma-suredo	dirty	ka-suredo-n	suffer from dirtiness
roŋo?	ma-roŋo?	fat	ka-roŋo?-an	suffer from being fat
hagaŋ	ma-hagaŋ	spicy	ka-hagaŋ-en	suffer from spiciness
pudu	ma-pudu	bitter	ka-pudu-an	experience bitterness
sahioko	ma-sahioko	fast	*ka-sahiok-an	*speed
baha?	ma-baha?	heavy	*ka-baha?-en	*suffer from heaviness
misi?	ma-misi?	sweet	*ka-misi?-an	*suffer from sweetness
dodo?	ma-dodo?	slow	*ka-dodo?-an	*

- (1) *barei nu ka-suredo-n ada ŋasa ana?-ana?*
house NI-2sg KA-dirty-AN if many children
‘Your house will get dirty if you have many children’
- (2) *i-pasko ni-ka-hagaŋ-en*
I-Vasco NI-KA-spicy-AN
‘Vasco was suffered from spiciness’
- (3) a **ka-sahiok-an nu-oto? ene ma-pia*
KA-fast-AN NU-car that MA-good
‘*The speed of that car is nice’

b *ka-sahioko nu-oto? ene ma-pia*
 KA-fast NU-car that MA-good
 ‘The speed of that car is nice’

4.3.2 Applicative verbs

- The prefix *paN-* forms applicative verbs when attached to the transitive verb bases. The productivity is limited though; only around twenty percent of such bases can take *paN-*. Applicative verbs are ditransitive and take all the three voices.
- The Active Voice form of applicative verbs is ‘*ma-/na-* + *paN-* + Base’.
- The Undergoer Voices of applicative verbs are: ‘*paN-* + Base + *-AN*’ for Undergoer Voice 1 and ‘*paN-* + Base’ for Undergoer Voice 2.
 - The Undergoer Voice 1 form ‘*paN-* + Base + *-AN*’ is identical with locative verb form which has no Active Voice form.
 - The Undergoer Voice 2 form ‘*paN-* + Base’ is identical with instrumental verb form which has no Active Voice form.
- YB speakers tend to create applicative verbs from every transitive verb base. As a result, they insist nonexistent applicative Active Voice forms to be present.
- Three factors for this is suggested below.
 - YB speakers have tendency to overgeneralize derivational rules. Examples of this abound as we have seen above. Once they are asked to make derivational forms, they tend to elicit combinations of affixes and bases without taking lexical information into account.
 - YB speakers have lost lexical information concerning verb (and verb base) subcategorization. Examples above suggest that they cannot discern intransitive verbs from mono-transitive verbs, and they often confuse mono-transitive verbs with ditransitive verbs.
 - A large proportion of morpho-syntactic knowledge is lost in YB. Although YB speakers know there are three voice forms, a three-way voice alternation system is seldom activated in their mind, possibly because High variety language they are willing to learn (Standard Indonesian) has a two-way voice alternation. (The Manado dialect has no morphologically apparent voice alternation system.) When they are aware that derivational verbs with a specific affix can take three voice forms, they automatically apply every voice form to any verb base. As a result, they create nonexistent forms and ungrammatical sentences.
- To summarize, YB speakers have a morpho-syntactic system distinct from that of TB speakers. They look for possible morphological forms, and then, without consulting lexical information which is sometimes idiosyncratic, apply every form to adequate and inadequate bases. If there are forms which can be interpreted as three voice forms, they automatically create a paradigm for ditransitive verbs and fill the slot with nonexistent forms.

Table 11: Arguments of applicative verbs

Structure of simple verbs				
Active Voice	subject	verb	object 1	
semantic role	actor		patient	
non-past	<i>i-/∅</i> NP	<i>maN</i> -Base	<i>si-/su-/∅</i> NP	
past	<i>i-/∅</i> NP	<i>naN</i> -Base	<i>si-/su-/∅</i> NP	
Structure of applicative verbs				
Active Voice	subject	verb	object 2	object 1
semantic role	Actor		Instrument	Patient
non-past	<i>i-/∅</i> NP	<i>ma-paN</i> -Base	<i>nu</i> -NP	<i>si-/su</i> -NP
past	<i>i-/∅</i> NP	<i>na-paN</i> -Base	<i>nu</i> -NP	<i>si-/su</i> -NP
Undergoer Voice 1	subject	verb	actor	object 2
semantic role	Patient		Actor	Instrument
non-past	<i>i-/∅</i> NP	<i>paN</i> -Base-AN	<i>ni-/nu</i> -NP	<i>nu</i> -NP
past	<i>i-/∅</i> NP	<i>ni-paN</i> -Base-AN	<i>ni-/nu</i> -NP	<i>nu</i> -NP
Undergoer Voice2	subject	verb	actor	object 1
semantic role	Instrument		Actor	Patient
non-past	<i>i-/∅</i> NP	<i>paN</i> -Base	<i>ni-/nu</i> -NP	<i>si-/su</i> -NP
past	<i>i-/∅</i> NP	<i>ni-paN</i> -Base	<i>ni-/nu</i> -NP	<i>si-/su</i> -NP

- (4) a *i-heis ma-pa-mareŋ nu-batu ie su-uai ene*
 I-Heis MA-PAN-take by throwing NU-stone this SU-mango that
 ‘Heis will take mango by throwing this stone’ (Active Voice)
- b *uai pa-mareŋ-an ni-heis nu-batu ie*
 mango PAN-take by throwing-AN NI-Heis NU-stone this
 ‘That mango will be taken by Heis by throwing this stone’ (Undergoer Voice 1)
- c *batu ie pa-mareŋ ni-heis su-uai ene*
 stone this PAN-take by throwing NI-Heis SU-mango that
 ‘This stone will be used by Heis to take that mango’ (Undergoer Voice 2)
- (5) a **i-stenli ma-paŋ-gehe? nu-pahigi su-kahatasa*
 I-Stenly MA-PAN2-tear NU-knife SU-paper
 ‘*Stenly will tear a piece of paper with a knife’ (nonexistent applicative Active Voice form insisted by YB speakers)
- b *su-meza ie paŋ-gehe?-an ni-stenli kahatasa?*
 paper this PAN-tear-AN NI-Stenly paper
 ‘On this table, Stenly will tear a piece of paper’ (Locative verb)
- b’ **kahatasa? paŋ-gehe?-an ni-stenli nu-pisou*
 paper PAN-tear-AN NI-Stenly NU-knife
 ‘*A piece of paper will be torn by Stenly with a knife’ (Ungrammatical sentence insisted by YB speakers)
- c *pisou paŋ-gehe? ni-stenli kahatasa*
 knife PAN-tear NI-Stenly paper
 ‘A knife will be used by Stenly to tear a piece of paper’ (Instrumental verb)
- c’ *pisou paŋ-gehe? ni-stenli su-kahatasa*
 knife PAN-tear NI-Stenly SU-paper
 ‘A knife will be used by Stenly to tear a piece of paper’ (Ungrammatical sentence insisted by YB speakers)

Table 12: Examples of applicative verbs in TB lexicon

base	simple verb	meaning	applicative verb	meaning
bohe?	ma-mohe?	write	ma-pa-bohe?	write with st
pareŋ	ma-mareŋ	take st	ma-pa-mareŋ	take st with st
mada	ma-mada	dry	ma-pa-mada	dry st at sw
turubu	ma-nurubu	close	ma-pa-nurubu	close with st
saŋkoi	ma-naŋkoi	plow	ma-pa-naŋkoi	plow with st
sohobo?	ma-nohobo?	flame	ma-pa-nohobo?	flame st
dahuŋ	ma-n-dahuŋ	sew	ma-pa-n-dahuŋ	sew on st

Table 13: Examples of applicative verbs elicited by YB speakers but are not acknowledged by TB speakers

base	simple verb	meaning	applicative form	meaning
ki?aŋ	ma-ŋi?aŋ	lift	*ma-pa-ŋi?aŋ	let sb lift st
tekoso?	ma-nekoso?	steal	*ma-pa-nekoso?	steal st sw
gogaha?	maŋ-gogaha?	break	*ma-paŋ-gogaha?	break st with st
gehe?	maŋ-gehe?	tear	*ma-paŋ-gehe?	tear st with st
karimu?	ma-ŋarimu?	make	*ma-pa-ŋarimu?	make st sw
kara?	ma-ŋara?	scoop out	*ma-pa-ŋara?	scoop out st with st
tukusu?	ma-nukusu?	wrap	*ma-pa-nukusu?	wrap st with st

5 Summary

It is widely reported that endangered language show morphological reduction (Dorian 1981, Campbell and Muntzel 1989, Schmidt 1985a). For example, in Dyirbal, ergative allomorphs are subsumed into lesser number of allomorphs as the speaker's competency reduces. Some incompetent speakers have only one form, and less competent ones finally lose the case marking itself (Schmidt 1985a).

In Bantik, however, the reduction of the number of allomorphs is not observed. Instead, 'overgeneralization of morphological rules' is widely observed in Young Bantik. YB speakers retain knowledge of morphological rules for deriving words, but they do not know lexical restriction for the word formation.

It seems that YB speakers are losing vocabulary of Bantik quite fast. Since all of them are fluent in the Manado dialect, when they cannot find the right word which convey the intended meaning in Bantik, they easily replace it with the Manado dialect.

YB speakers supplement the loss of vocabulary by being conscious of the morphological rules in Bantik, and by combining the bases and the affixes following the rules, they create words which are not traditionally used nor admitted by Traditional Bantik speakers. When they come to assign meanings to a nonexistent word, they simply combine the meaning of an affix and a base.

The overgeneralization of the rules by YB speakers extend to the inflectional rules. They inflect words by applying the morpho-syntactic rules by analogy regardless of the lexical restriction. As a result, they create strange words for TB speakers and make ungrammatical sentences for TB speakers using their own words.

YB speakers are more conscious of the morphological and morpho-syntactic rules than TB speakers, since it is one of the few ways to compensate loss of lexicon. By applying morphological rules to as many bases as possible, they can increase the vocabulary. Morpho-syntactic rules help them to create sentences without consulting to lexical information.

Although YB speakers are quite competent in creating words by affixation, they are often not sure of their meaning. This fact indicates that they do not actually use the words they elicit in daily speech. TB speakers, on the other hand, when asked to elicit words with derivational affixes, consult lexical information and decide whether a resulting form exist or not. Naturally, they are confident of and

consistent with the meaning of the words once they acknowledged their existence.

It is suggested that overgeneralization of the rules is found in morphology and morpho-syntax when a language is on the way of extinction. Under the circumstances, reinterpretation of morpho-syntactic system also emerges.

References

- [1] Bawole, George. 1993 *Sistem Fokus dalam Bahasa Bantik* Desertasi Universitas Indonesia.
- [2] Campbell, L. and M.C. Muntzel. 1989 'The structural consequences of language death' in N.C. Dorian (ed.), *Investigating obsolescence*, 181-196.
- [3] Dorian, Nancy. 1981 *Language death: the life cycle of a Scottish Gaelic dialect*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania.
- [4] Dorian, Nancy. 1989 *Investigating obsolescence: Studies in language contraction and death*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [5] Noorduyn, J. (1991) A critical survey of studies on the languages of Sulawesi. Leiden: KITLV Press.
- [6] Prentice, David J. (1994) Manado Malay: product and agent of language change. In: Tom Dutton and Darrel T. Tryon (eds.) *Language contact and language change in Austronesian world*, 411-441. (Trends in linguistics; studies and monographs; 77). Berlin, New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- [7] Schmidt, Annette 1985a *Young people's Dyirbal: An example of language death from Australia*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [8] Utsumi, Atsuko. (2002) The generation difference in Bantik Language. In: Tasaku Tsunoda (ed.) *Basic materials in minority languages 2002 Part2*, 45-73. (Endangered Languages of the Pacific Rim 2002 B008). Osaka: Osaka Gakuin University.
- [9] Utsumi, Atsuko. (2005) *Bantikku go no kouzou to setuzi no imi to kinou*. (The structure of the Bantik language and the semantic analysis of its affixes.) Dessertation submitted to the University of Tokyo.